



# LOVELAND POLICE DEPARTMENT

CALEA ACCREDITED AGENCY SINCE 1992

## 2020 ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT



PREPARED BY:


MARK RUDOLPH — CRIME ANALYST

## Contents

OVERVIEW .....	4
2020 HIGHLIGHTS .....	5
LOGGED POLICE ACTIVITY/CALLS FOR SERVICE (CFS) .....	7
Call Load and Response Times .....	8
Citizen-generated CFS.....	8
CFS by Month .....	9
CFS by Day of Week.....	9
Citizen-generated CFS by District .....	10
Citizen-generated CFS - Top 15 (All dispositions).....	11
Citizen-generated CFS - Top 15 (with RMS entry/case reports).....	11
Police Response Times: Citizen-generated CFS .....	12
CFS Location Information .....	13
911 Hang-up CFS.....	13
Citizen-generated CFS at City Parks.....	13
CAD Logged Police Activities .....	14
Officer Initiated Traffic Stops .....	14
Records Management System (RMS) Data .....	15
Part 1 Crimes .....	15
LPD Part 1 Crimes (Total):.....	15
LPD Part 1 Crimes (Per Capita): .....	15
LPD and Benchmark City Survey Comparison .....	16
Part 1 Crimes (Total) per 1,000 population:.....	16
Part 1 Crimes (Violent) per 1,000 Population: .....	17
Part 1 Crimes (Property) per 1,000 Population: .....	17
LPD Individual Part 1 Crimes (Violent).....	18
Homicides - NIBRS [09A].....	18
Rapes - NIBRS [11A, 11B, 11C].....	18
Aggravated Assaults - NIBRS [13A] .....	19
Robberies - NIBRS [120].....	19
LPD Individual Part 1 Crimes (Property) .....	20
Arsons - NIBRS [200] .....	20
Burglaries - NIBRS [220].....	20
Larcenies-theft - NIBRS [23A to 23H] .....	21
Motor Vehicle Theft - NIBRS [240] .....	21

Selected Part 2 Crimes.....	22
Criminal Mischief Reports .....	22
Child Abuse Reports .....	22
Domestic Violence Arrests.....	22
Criminal Trespass Violations – 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> and 3 <sup>rd</sup> Degree.....	23
Records Management System (RMS) Arrest Numbers .....	23
Adult Arrests.....	23
Juvenile Arrests.....	23
Animal Citation Violations .....	24
Traffic and Parking Citations/Violations and Motor Vehicle Crashes .....	24
Traffic Citations.....	24
Traffic Violations.....	24
Parking Citations.....	25
Parking Violations .....	25
2020 Top 10 Citation Violations .....	26
Motor Vehicle Crashes .....	27
DUI/DUID Crashes .....	27
Driving Under the Influence (DUI)/Driving Under the Influence of Drugs (DUID) Arrests .....	27
2020 Top 10 Crash Locations.....	28
2020 Loveland Police Department Traffic Enforcement Demographics .....	29
Officer initiated traffic stops .....	29
Traffic citations (no parking) .....	29
Traffic citations by sex (no parking) .....	29
Traffic citations by age (no parking) .....	29
Traffic citations by Race (no parking) .....	29
Traffic citations by ethnicity (no parking).....	29
Closing .....	30

The Loveland Police Department is an internationally accredited agency since 1992.



## OVERVIEW

While the statistics presented here are quantitative representations of just some of the work and outcomes of the incidents that our police men and women respond to, statistics will never capture the dedication, courage, bravery, perseverance, commitment, and compassion that our officers utilize in living the ***Save Lives, Fight Crime, Survive*** mission of the Loveland Police Department.

This analysis of calls for service and crime/traffic statistics for the Loveland Police Department was developed to inform law enforcement officials and the community about crime and traffic incidents, crashes, and offenses in the City of Loveland. The Loveland Police Department values the basic principle that awareness about crime and crime prevention is one of the most important aspects of effective personal safety.

This report contains an analysis of certain types of crime and traffic statistics as well as an overview of the calls for service received and responded to by the members of the Loveland Police Department during 2020. Included are graphs, arrest and traffic data, population figures, police district breakdowns and other information, which may prove useful to the reader.

Various data sources were utilized in this analysis. The reader should note that the numbers in this report might vary slightly from the LPD monthly data summary or other data queries and reports of a similar nature. Data for the full year of 2020 contained in this report were obtained from the Records Management Systems (RMS) and Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) systems.

Certain definitions are listed here to provide the reader with a clear understanding of certain terminology used in this report:

**Calls For Service (CFS):** Most law enforcement agencies and publications define CFS as a call from a citizen for service. In this report, CFS will encompass citizen-generated calls, from whatever source. Various officer-initiated activities, although they generate a CAD call number, will be included as Logged activity (explained below). Incidents with a "TEST CALL/ENTRY" call type were excluded from CFS data for this report. Although Community Service Officers (CSOs) are not Sworn officers and do not have arrest powers; they are dispatched to traffic/parking related calls, prisoner transport, and other lower risk calls and provide important support to our Sworn officers. CSO activity that was citizen-generated is also included in these numbers.

**Logged Police CAD Activities:** The Loveland Police Department CAD system also logs officer-initiated activities. Some of these logged activities include Follow Up, Extra Patrols, Traffic Stops, etc. While these types of activities are not deemed a citizen-generated "call for service", they along with citizen-generated calls comprise the total of all Logged Police Activity. Logged CAD incidents a call type of "TEST CALL/ENTRY" were excluded for the Logged CAD Activity for this report. Again, CSO activity is also included in these numbers.

**Response Time:** The International City/County Management Association (ICMA) and many law enforcement publications define response time as the time interval from receipt of a call in the dispatch center until the first unit arrives on scene. This is the calculation that was used for response times in this report. This calculation reflects the total time needed by LPD to respond to the CFS and not just the officer's travel time to the call.

## 2020 HIGHLIGHTS


With the COVID19 pandemic beginning in early 2020, policing activity was significantly affected at times by the various health orders and guidance issued at local, state and national levels and affected various statistics related to both policing activities as well as crime and traffic, especially when compared to previous years.

Amongst the highlights for 2020:

- **Motor vehicle crashes were down 27.3% from 2019 and are down 32% since 2016.**
- DUI/DUID motor vehicle crashes were down 24.8% from 2019.
- **Total Part 1 crimes were down 7.2% from 2019 and are down 24% since 2016.**
- Loveland's part 1 crime rate is below the FBI national index crime rate and is also below our peers in the Benchmark cities survey group.
- Burglaries and Larceny were down (17.9% and 5.1%, respectively) compared to 2019.



The officers of the Loveland Police Department patrol approximately 35.63 square miles (including over 420 miles of roads) every day. The city is divided into five Police Districts as shown on the map below.



Loveland's 2020 population was estimated to be 81,208 and by 2029, the estimated population of Loveland is expected to be just over 100,000<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Population figures from City of Loveland Community & Strategic Planning Data Assumptions Report. Revised December 2020. <https://www.lovgov.org/services/development-services/community-strategic-planning/data-and-assumptions-report>

## LOGGED POLICE ACTIVITY/CALLS FOR SERVICE (CFS)

The Communications Center dispatches calls for service for Police, Fire and Emergency Medical Service (EMS). This report analyzes only the police activity/calls that were recorded in 2020.


The Loveland Police Department had **103,300 logged police activities** in 2020. These logged CAD activities include both citizen-generated Calls for Service (CFS) as well as officer-initiated incidents and follow-ups. The 2020 number is **DOWN 0.4%** from the 103,714 logged activities in 2019. **Citizen-generated Calls for Service (CFS) accounted for 50,776 (49.2%)** of the total logged activities. This is down 8.4% from the 55,429 citizen-generated CFS in 2019.

**Traffic Stops accounted for 16,172 (15.7%)** of the total logged activities as compared to 21,000 (20.2%) of the logged activities in 2019. Officer follow-up activities accounted for 7,802 (7.6%) in 2020 compared to 9,614 (9.3%) in 2019.

The total logged activities number also includes the calls that were logged and subsequently handled by Communications without having to have an officer dispatched. In 2020, **the Communications staff handled 12,629 (12.2%)** of the logged police calls compared to 15,069 (14.5%) in 2019.

The Loveland Police Department prioritizes calls by the nature and urgency of the call type. The following table and graph indicate the frequency and percentage of logged police activity by priority classification based upon all logged activities.


Priority Type - Logged Police Activities	Total	%
1-Emergency	519	0.5%
2-Urgent	4,767	4.6%
3-Non-Emergency	66,264	64.1%
3-Traffic	16,172	15.7%
4-Lobby/Desk	1,470	1.4%
5-Phone Contact	11,530	11.2%
6-Low Priority	8	0.0%
7-Non-Priority	2	0.0%
8-Dispatch	2,351	2.3%
9-Information	154	0.1%
No priority entered	63	0.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>103,300</b>	<b>100%</b>




## Call Load and Response Times

### Citizen-generated CFS

There were **50,776 citizen-generated police CFS** in 2020. In November of 2016, a phone tree system was implemented to help route calls, which did not need to go to a dispatcher, to the appropriate resource. This decreased the amount of citizen-generated calls that Communications actually had to answer starting with 2017 and explains the general decreasing trend from 2016 in the subsequent four years.



Communications handled 12,629 (24.9%) of the citizen-generated CFS. This contributes greatly to the efficiency of how our resources are allocated.




The Loveland Police Department hired a Report Technician in 2014 to help with call load by taking certain call types that came in from walk-in traffic in the lobby/front window of the Police Department. For 2020, this position handled the entry of 527 CFS incidents.




### CFS by Month

Using the defined citizen-generated CFS, the heaviest call load month was July with 5,514 calls for the month. Due to COVID19 restrictions that went into effect in March, April ended up having the fewest calls with 3,401.





### CFS by Day of Week

WEDNESDAYS had the most CFS with 15.1%. FRIDAYS and TUESDAYS were next at 14.9% each, and MONDAYS, THURSDAYS, and SATURDAYS had 14.5%, 14.4%, and 14.1%, respectively. SUNDAYS had the fewest calls (12.1%).



### Citizen-generated CFS by District

District 1 had the highest citizen-generated call volume with 15,645 (30.8%) of the calls. District 2 had the second highest amount with 9,547 of the total calls (18.8%) and District 5 had the fewest calls (5,847, 11.5%). The "Others" grouping includes calls that were primarily Larimer County Sheriff designated response area. See map below for Loveland PD district boundaries.



#### Citizen-generated CFS - Top 15 (All dispositions)

Of all citizen-generated CFS incidents (50,776), the top 15 were:

911 HANG UP	12,522	24.7%
ASSIST CITIZEN	3,470	6.8%
WELFARE CHECK	2,762	5.4%
SUSPICIOUS CIRCUMSTANCE	2,617	5.2%
DISTURBANCE	2,153	4.2%
MV CRASH (Injury, Non-injury, Hit and Run, Code 77)	1,741	3.4%
TRAFFIC RELATED	1,711	3.4%
ALARM BURGLAR	1,639	3.2%
CIVIL ISSUE	1,463	2.9%
ASSIST OTHER AGENCY	1,044	2.1%
FRAUD	928	1.8%
HARASSMENT	890	1.8%
ASSIST BUSINESS	878	1.7%
REDDI REPORT	794	1.6%
FIREWORKS	772	1.5%

#### Citizen-generated CFS - Top 15 (with RMS entry/case reports)


Of the citizen-generated CFS incidents that resulted in an RMS entry/case report (6,520), the top 15 were:

MV CRASH (Injury, Non-injury, Hit and Run, Code 77)	1,288	19.8%
THEFT COLD/IN PROGRESS	395	6.1%
FRAUD	391	6.0%
SEX OFFENDER REGISTRATION	384	5.9%
VEHICLE TRESPASS	360	5.5%
WARRANT ARREST	324	5.0%
DISTURBANCE	286	4.4%
SHOPLIFTING	269	4.1%
PROPERTY FOUND	243	3.7%
CRIMINAL MISCHIEF	235	3.6%
RESTRAINING ORDER VIOL	150	2.3%
VEHICLE THEFT	137	2.1%
TRAFFIC STOP	122	1.9%
ASSAULT	119	1.8%
WARRANT ATTEMPT	115	1.8%

### Police Response Times: Citizen-generated CFS


The total response time is calculated as the interval from *the time the dispatcher answered the call to the time the first officer arrived on scene*. Calls that had an invalid time calculation (no on-scene time logged) were excluded.

The average response time for **Priority 1 Emergency** calls in 2020 was **6 minutes 44 seconds**. This is down from 2019 (**7 minutes and 12 seconds**). The average response time for **Priority 2 Urgent** calls was **7 minutes and 22 seconds**, this is down from 2019 (**7 minutes and 40 seconds**). The average response time for **Priority 3 Non-emergency** calls was **15 minutes and 50 seconds**, this is down from 2019 (**22 minutes and 46 seconds**).



Officer travel time is calculated based on *the time the first unit was enroute to the call until the first unit arrived*.

The average **travel time** for officers on **Priority 1 Emergency** calls was **5 minutes 0 seconds**, down from 2019 (**5 minutes 29 seconds**). For **Priority 2 Urgent** calls, the average officer travel time was **5 minutes 29 seconds**, down from 2019 (**5 minutes and 43 seconds**). For **Priority 3 Non-emergency** calls the average officer travel time was **8 minutes 34 seconds**, down from 2019 (**10 minutes 14 seconds**).



## CFS Location Information

The 10 most frequent locations of citizen-generated CFS in 2020 are listed below. 911 Hang-up calls were not included in these location counts as the location of some cell towers/antennas (the source of the majority of 911 hang-ups) are also located at major retail or other addresses that would skew that location's numbers.

Location Name/Type	Number of Calls
Wal Mart (North and East)	585
King Soopers (North and South)	289
Safeway (Downtown, Taft, Wilson)	266
Medical Center of the Rockies	247
4 City High Schools (LHS, TVHS, MVHS, Ferguson)	219
Kum & Go (Eisenhower, Knobcone, Clydesdale)	177
Fairgrounds Park	153
E Eisenhower/I25	142
McKee Medical Center	139
Rodeway Inn	132

### 911 Hang-up CFS

12,522 Emergency 911 hang-up calls were logged in 2020. This is up from 10,835 in 2019. This includes 10,872 hang-ups from cell phones and 12 hang-ups via 911-Text. There were 1,638 non-cell 911 phone hang-ups.

### Citizen-generated CFS at City Parks

The following were the top five for the most citizen-generated CFS at City park locations in 2020. These are typically criminal mischief, vehicle trespass, or suspicious activity type calls.

Fairgrounds Park (153)

Mehaffey Park (109)

Barnes Softball Complex (64)

Kroh Park (39)

Dwayne Webster Veterans Park (36)



## CAD Logged Police Activities

Logged Police activities include both citizen-generated CFS and officer-initiated calls that are excluded from the citizen-generated CFS numbers. For 2020, there were **103,300 logged police activities**, a **0.4% decrease** from 2019.

The most frequent logged activity type was a **Traffic Stop** with a total of **16,172 or 15.7%**. This was a 23% decrease from 2019 (21,000). The next ten most logged activity types based on frequency and percentage of all activity are:



2. Extra Patrol/Community Policing	15,199 (14.7%)
3. 911 Hang Up	12,522 (12.1%)
4. Follow Up	7,802 (7.6%)
5. Assist Citizen	3,971 (3.8%)
6. Suspicious Circumstance	3,352 (3.2%)
7. Welfare Check	2,935 (2.8%)
8. Parking	2,905 (2.8%)
9. Abandoned Vehicle	2,274 (2.2%)
10. Disturbance	2,232 (2.2%)
11. MV Crashes (Injury, Non-injury, Hit and Run, Code 77*)	1,893 (1.8%)

\*Code 77 calls are Motor Vehicle (MV) crashes that involve serious injury (or death) and/or significant property damage and require the call out of the Technical Crash Team for investigation/crash reconstruction.

### Officer Initiated Traffic Stops

Of the 16,172 traffic stops, 48.8% (7,897) resulted in either a "Verbal Warning" (6,830) or "Written Warning" (1,067). 42.5% (6,872) of the traffic stops resulted in a summons issued, and 3.6% (581) dispositioned with an arrest made.

Traffic stops by district and day of the week:



## Records Management System (RMS) Data

### Part 1 Crimes

Part 1 Crimes are the eight Index crimes as defined by the FBI's UCR (Uniform Crime Reporting) program. Under UCR, the FBI has transitioned from the SRS (Summary Reporting System) to NIBRS (National Incident-Based Reporting System). Previously under SRS, when multiple crimes were committed in an incident, only the most serious crime was counted. Under NIBRS rules, up to ten (10) offenses per incident are reported. The numbers presented here represent the NIBRS crime counts as mandated by the FBI.

The eight part 1 crimes are comprised of two categories of four crimes each (associated NIBRS codes in brackets).


**Violent crimes:** Homicide [09A], Forcible rape offenses [11A, 11B, 11C], Aggravated assault [13A], Robbery [120]

**Property crimes:** Arson [200], Burglary [220], Larceny-theft crimes [23A to 23H], Motor vehicle theft [240]

#### LPD Part 1 Crimes (Total):

For 2020, Loveland's Part 1 crimes fell by 7.2%. This was largely due to a decrease in Larceny-theft crimes.


Year	Number of Crimes	% Change
2016	2,574	
2017	2,540	-1.3%
2018	2,332	-8.2%
2019	2,107	-9.6%
2020	1,955	-7.2%



#### LPD Part 1 Crimes (Per Capita<sup>2</sup>):

A per capita measurement of is often used to give a more accurate comparison of crime increases or decreases while considering the population changes. For 2020, Loveland's Part 1 crimes per capita (per 1,000 population) decreased by 7.5%.

Year	LPD Part 1 Crimes (Total)	Loveland Population <sup>2</sup>	LPD Part 1 Crimes per 1,000 Population	% Change
2016	2,574	74,385	34.6	
2017	2,540	75,840	33.5	-3.2%
2018	2,332	77,262	30.2	-9.9%
2019	2,107	79,150	26.6	-11.8%
2020	1,955	81,208	24.1	-9.6%



<sup>2</sup> Population figures from City of Loveland Community & Strategic Planning Data Assumptions Report. Revised December 2020.  
<https://www.lovgov.org/services/development-services/community-strategic-planning/data-and-assumptions-report>

### LPD and Benchmark City Survey Comparison<sup>3</sup>

The Benchmark City Survey was originally established in 1997 by a group of Police Chiefs from around the country. They sought to establish a measurement tool to help ensure their police departments were providing the best service possible in their respective communities.

The annual survey provides a wide range of information about each department. The agencies can then utilize that information to set better goals and objectives while also comparing their performance in the various areas.


The Overland Park, Kansas Police Department has taken the lead in compiling the survey results, and makes the final Benchmark City Survey Report available to all participants at an annual Chief's Summit.

We have taken cities from the Benchmark survey that are closest in size to Loveland and made a sub-group called the Modified Benchmark Cities to measure our performance against as well.

#### Part 1 Crimes (Total) per 1,000 population<sup>4</sup>:

Year	LPD Part 1 Crimes (Total)	Loveland Population <sup>2</sup>	LPD Part 1 Crimes per 1,000 Population	% Change	Benchmark City Survey Part 1 Crimes per 1,000	Modified Benchmark Cities** Part 1 Crimes per 1,000	FBI National Index per 1,000
2016	2,574	74,385	34.6		30.2	29.2	28.7
2017	2,540	75,840	33.5	-3.2%	28.7	28.3	28.4
2018	2,332	77,262	30.2	-9.9%	26.4	28.7	27.5
2019	2,107	79,150	26.6	-11.8%	27.3	27.0	25.7
2020	1,955	81,208	24.1	-9.6%	27.5	36.7	

\*\* Modified Benchmark Cities: Boca Raton, FL \*\*\* | Boulder, CO | Edmond, OK | Lawrence, KS  
 \*\*\* Boca Raton did not report NIBRS based data for 2020




<sup>3</sup> <https://www.opkansas.org/city-services/police-fire-safety/police-special-services/benchmark-cities-survey/>

<sup>4</sup> Population figures from City of Loveland Community & Strategic Planning Data Assumptions Report. Revised December 2020.  
<https://www.lovgov.org/services/development-services/community-strategic-planning/data-and-assumptions-report>


### Part 1 Crimes (Violent) per 1,000 Population:

Year	LPD Part 1 Crimes (Violent)	LPD % Change	LPD Part 1 Crimes (Violent) per 1,000 Pop.	LPD % Change	Benchmark City Survey Part 1 Crimes (Violent) per 1,000 Pop.	Modified Benchmark Cities* Part 1 Crimes (Violent) per 1,000 Pop.	FBI National Index Part 1 Violent Crimes per 1,000**
2016	231		3.1		2.7	2.5	3.9
2017	281	21.6%	3.7	19.3%	2.7	2.6	3.8
2018	213	-24.2%	2.8	-25.6%	2.6	2.5	3.7
2019	255	19.7%	3.2	16.9%	3.1	2.3	3.7
2020	205	-19.6%	2.5	-21.6%	2.7	2.7	
* Modified Benchmark Cities: Boca Raton, FL***   Boulder, CO   Edmond, OK   Lawrence, KS							
** FBI has not yet released 2020 Crime data							
*** Boca Raton did not report NIBRS based data for 2020							



### Part 1 Crimes (Property) per 1,000 Population:

Year	LPD Part 1 Crimes (Property)	LPD % Change	LPD Part 1 Crimes (Property) per 1,000 Pop.	LPD % Change	Benchmark City Survey Part 1 Crimes (Property) per 1,000 Pop.	Modified Benchmark Cities* Part 1 Crimes (Property) per 1,000 Pop.	FBI National Index Part 1 Property Crimes per 1,000**
2016	2,343		31.5		26.5	26.6	24.5
2017	2,259	-3.6%	29.8	-5.4%	25.7	26.4	23.6
2018	2,119	-6.2%	27.4	-7.9%	23.7	26.2	22.1
2019	1,852	-12.6%	23.4	-14.7%	23.5	24.7	21.1
2020	1,750	-5.5%	21.5	-7.9%	24.8	33.9	
* Modified Benchmark Cities: Boca Raton, FL***   Boulder, CO   Edmond, OK   Lawrence, KS							
** FBI has not yet released 2020 Crime data							
*** Boca Raton did not report NIBRS based data for 2020							



## LPD Individual Part 1 Crimes (Violent)


The tables and charts on the following pages show the 5-year trends on each of the individual Part 1 crimes for Loveland and the Benchmark Cities as well as the national index numbers from the FBI. At current time, 2019 is the most recent yearly data available from the FBI<sup>5</sup>. Additionally, the FBI website tables state the per capita numbers as per 100,000 population, so for this report their numbers were divided by 100 to compare to Loveland and the Benchmark Cities on a per 1,000 population basis.

### Homicides - NIBRS [09A]

Year	LPD Number of Homicides	% Change	LPD Homicides per 1,000 Pop.	% Change per 1,000	Homicides - Benchmark City Survey per 1,000 Pop.	Homicides - Modified Benchmark Cities* per 1,000 Pop.	Homicides - FBI National Index per 1,000**
2016	1		0.013		0.022	0.008	0.054
2017	0	-100.0%	0.000	-100.0%	0.026	0.030	0.053
2018	1	nac	0.013	nac	0.024	0.010	0.050
2019	1	0.0%	0.013	-2.4%	0.026	0.025	0.050
2020	0	-100.0%	0.000	-100.0%	0.038	0.010	
* Modified Benchmark Cities: Boca Raton, FL***   Boulder, CO   Edmond, OK   Lawrence, KS							
** FBI has not yet released 2020 Crime data							
*** Boca Raton did not report NIBRS based data for 2020							

### Rapes - NIBRS [11A, 11B, 11C]

Year	LPD Number of Rapes	% Change	LPD Rapes per 1,000 Pop.	% Change per 1,000	Rapes - Benchmark City Survey per 1,000 Pop.	Rapes - Modified Benchmark Cities* per 1,000 Pop.	Rapes - FBI National Index per 1,000**
2016	59		0.79		0.40	0.41	0.41
2017	76	28.8%	1.00	26.3%	0.44	0.42	0.42
2018	52	-31.6%	0.67	-32.8%	0.44	0.42	0.44
2019	51	-1.9%	0.64	-4.3%	0.49	0.50	0.43
2020	33	-35.3%	0.41	-36.9%	0.36	0.39	
* Modified Benchmark Cities: Boca Raton, FL***   Boulder, CO   Edmond, OK   Lawrence, KS							
** FBI has not yet released 2020 Crime data							
*** Boca Raton did not report NIBRS based data for 2020							




<sup>5</sup> <https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2019/crime-in-the-u.s.-2019/topic-pages/tables/table-1>




## Aggravated Assaults - NIBRS [13A]

Year	LPD Number of Agg. Assaults	% Change	LPD Agg. Assaults per 1,000 Pop.	% Change per 1,000	Agg. Assaults - Benchmark City Survey per 1,000 Pop.	Agg. Assaults - Modified Benchmark Cities* per 1,000 Pop.	Agg. Assaults - FBI National Index per 1,000**
2016	147		1.98		1.60	1.55	2.48
2017	175	19.0%	2.31	16.8%	1.50	1.65	2.49
2018	144	-17.7%	1.86	-19.2%	1.50	1.58	2.48
2019	174	20.8%	2.20	18.0%	1.70	1.40	2.50
2020	150	-13.8%	1.85	-16.0%	1.75	1.95	
* Modified Benchmark Cities: Boca Raton, FL***   Boulder, CO   Edmond, OK   Lawrence, KS							
** FBI has not yet released 2020 Crime data							
*** Boca Raton did not report NIBRS based data for 2020							



## Robberies - NIBRS [120]


Year	LPD Number of Robberies	% Change	LPD Robberies per 1,000 Pop.	% Change per 1,000	Robberies - Benchmark City Survey per 1,000 Pop.	Robberies - Modified Benchmark Cities* per 1,000 Pop.	Robberies - FBI National Index per 1,000**
2016	24		0.3		0.7	0.6	1.0
2017	30	25.0%	0.4	22.6%	0.7	0.5	1.0
2018	16	-46.7%	0.2	-47.6%	0.6	0.5	0.9
2019	29	81.3%	0.4	76.9%	0.5	0.4	0.8
2020	22	-24.1%	0.3	-26.1%	0.55	0.4	
* Modified Benchmark Cities: Boca Raton, FL***   Boulder, CO   Edmond, OK   Lawrence, KS							
** FBI has not yet released 2020 Crime data							
*** Boca Raton did not report NIBRS based data for 2020							



## LPD Individual Part 1 Crimes (Property)


### Arsons - NIBRS [200]

Year	LPD Number of Arsons	% Change	LPD Arsons per 1,000 Pop.	% Change per 1,000	Arsons - Benchmark City Survey per 1,000 Pop.	Arsons - Modified Benchmark Cities* per 1,000 Pop.	Arsons - FBI National Index****
2016	10		0.13		0.10	0.11	
2017	16	60.0%	0.21	56.9%	0.09	0.05	
2018	14	-12.5%	0.18	-14.1%	0.08	0.08	
2019	16	14.3%	0.20	11.6%	0.07	0.06	
2020	17	6.3%	0.21	3.6%	0.10	0.14	
* Modified Benchmark Cities: Boca Raton, FL***   Boulder, CO   Edmond, OK   Lawrence, KS							
*** Boca Raton did not report NIBRS based data for 2020							
****NOTE from FBI Website: Although arson data are included in the trend and clearance tables, sufficient data are not							




### Burglaries - NIBRS [220]

Year	LPD Number of Burglaries	% Change	LPD Burglaries per 1,000 Pop.	% Change per 1,000	Burglaries - Benchmark City Survey per 1,000 Pop.	Burglaries - Modified Benchmark Cities* per 1,000 Pop.	Burglaries - FBI National Index per 1,000**
2016	232		3.1		4.1	4.3	4.7
2017	244	5.2%	3.2	3.2%	3.6	3.7	4.3
2018	205	-16.0%	2.7	-17.5%	3.3	3.4	3.8
2019	168	-18.0%	2.1	-20.0%	3.0	3.0	3.4
2020	138	-17.9%	1.7	-19.9%	3.0	3.5	
* Modified Benchmark Cities: Boca Raton, FL***   Boulder, CO   Edmond, OK   Lawrence, KS							
** FBI has not yet released 2020 Crime data							
*** Boca Raton did not report NIBRS based data for 2020							




### Larcenies-theft - NIBRS [23A to 23H]

Year	LPD Number of Larcenies	% Change	LPD Larcenies per 1,000 Pop.	% Change per 1,000	Larceny/Theft - Benchmark City Survey per 1,000 Pop.	Larceny/Theft - Modified Benchmark Cities* per 1,000 Pop.	Larceny / Theft - FBI National Index per 1,000**
2016	2,023		27.2		22.0	22.3	17.5
2017	1,889	-6.6%	24.9	-8.4%	19.7	19.6	17.0
2018	1,791	-5.2%	23.2	-6.9%	18.9	20.9	16.0
2019	1,537	-14.2%	19.4	-16.2%	18.5	19.8	15.5
2020	1,458	-5.1%	18.0	-7.5%	18.9	27.9	
* Modified Benchmark Cities: Boca Raton, FL***   Boulder, CO   Edmond, OK   Lawrence, KS							
** FBI has not yet released 2020 Crime data							
*** Boca Raton did not report NIBRS based data for 2020							



### Motor Vehicle Theft - NIBRS [240]


Year	LPD Number of MV Thefts	% Change	LPD MV Thefts per 1,000 Pop.	% Change per 1,000	MV Thefts - Benchmark City Survey per 1,000 Pop.	MV Thefts - Modified Benchmark Cities* per 1,000 Pop.	MV Thefts - FBI National Index per 1,000**
2016	78		1.0		2.3	1.2	2.4
2017	110	41.0%	1.5	38.3%	2.2	1.7	2.4
2018	109	-0.9%	1.4	-2.7%	2.1	1.9	2.3
2019	131	20.2%	1.7	17.3%	2.2	1.8	2.2
2020	137	4.6%	1.7	1.9%	2.8	2.4	
* Modified Benchmark Cities: Boca Raton, FL***   Boulder, CO   Edmond, OK   Lawrence, KS							
** FBI has not yet released 2020 Crime data							
*** Boca Raton did not report NIBRS based data for 2020							



## Selected Part 2 Crimes


### Criminal Mischief Reports

Year	Criminal Mischief Reports	% Change
2016	465	
2017	425	-8.6%
2018	383	-9.9%
2019	420	9.7%
2020	390	-7.1%




### Child Abuse Reports

Year	Child Abuse Reports	% Change
2016	98	
2017	119	21.4%
2018	98	-17.6%
2019	97	-1.0%
2020	86	-11.3%




### Domestic Violence Arrests

Year	DV Arrests	% Change
2016	206	
2017	254	23.3%
2018	168	-33.9%
2019	194	15.5%
2020	260	34.0%



## Criminal Trespass Violations – 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Degree


Year	Criminal Trespass Violations	% Change
2016	495	
2017	464	-6.3%
2018	409	-11.9%
2019	389	-4.9%
2020	544	39.8%



## Records Management System (RMS) Arrest Numbers


### Adult Arrests

Year	Arrests	% Change
2016	2841	
2017	3080	8.4%
2018	2981	-3.2%
2019	3099	4.0%
2020	2853	-7.9%



### Juvenile Arrests

Year	Arrests	% Change
2016	585	
2017	707	20.9%
2018	661	-6.5%
2019	829	25.4%
2020	302	-63.6%





## Animal Citation Violations

The Larimer Humane Society, a private non-profit, writes the citations for animal violations for the city of Loveland. 2020 saw 84 citations written (down 5.6%) from 89 written in 2019. These 84 citations totaled 194 violations for 2020, down from the 224 violations in 2019.


	Violations
Animal At Large	68
Public Nuisance	32
Animal Disturbance Of Neighborhood	21
Rabies Vaccination Required	29
License Required/canine Or Feline	29
Humane Treatment	3
Animal at Large - Inadequate Fence	2
Animal Waste Removal	2
Tags Must Be Worn	4
Interference With Animal Control Officer	1
Limitations On Number Of Household Pets	1
Reporting Bites	1
Vicious Animals	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>194</b>

## Traffic and Parking Citations/Violations and Motor Vehicle Crashes

### Traffic Citations

Year	Number of Citations	% Change
2016	7,027	
2017	8,908	26.8%
2018	11,260	26.4%
2019	10,874	-3.4%
2020	8,860	-18.5%


\*Does NOT include parking



### Traffic Violations

Year	Number of Violations	% Change
2016	9,195	
2017	11,643	26.6%
2018	14,116	21.2%
2019	13,654	-3.3%
2020	12,340	-9.6%


\* Does NOT include parking



### Parking Citations


Parking violations are primarily written by the Community Service Officers (CSOs) of Loveland PD.

Year	Number of Citations	% Change
2016	1,799	
2017	1,757	-2.3%
2018	1,998	13.7%
2019	1,805	-9.7%
2020	1,697	-6.0%




### Parking Violations

Year	Number of Violations	% Change
2016	1,858	
2017	1,828	-1.6%
2018	2,106	15.2%
2019	1,957	-7.1%
2020	1,879	-4.0%




2020 Top 10 Citation Violations




## Motor Vehicle Crashes

Year	Number of Crashes	% Change
2016	2,313	
2017	2,211	-4.4%
2018	2,203	-0.4%
2019	2,164	-1.8%
2020	1,574	-27.3%




## DUI/DUID Crashes

Year	Number of Crashes	% Change
2016	91	
2017	97	6.6%
2018	91	-6.2%
2019	101	11.0%
2020	76	-24.8%




## Driving Under the Influence (DUI)/Driving Under the Influence of Drugs (DUID) Arrests

Year	DUI/DUID Arrests	% Change
2016	364	
2017	490	34.6%
2018	480	-2.0%
2019	604	25.8%
2020	519	-14.1%



## 2020 Top 10 Crash Locations





## 2020 Loveland Police Department Traffic Enforcement Demographics

Officer initiated traffic stops **16,172**

Traffic citations (no parking) **8,473**

Traffic citations by sex (no parking)

Sex	Citations	% of Total
FEMALE	3,509	41.4%
MALE	4,961	58.6%
UNKNOWN	3	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8473</b>	<b>100%</b>

Traffic citations by age (no parking)

Age Group	Citations	% of Total
0-15	8	0.1%
16-17	297	3.5%
18-21	1172	13.8%
22-25	1029	12.1%
26-30	1185	14.0%
31-35	978	11.5%
36-40	854	10.1%
41-45	636	7.5%
46-50	533	6.3%
51-55	468	5.5%
56-60	415	4.9%
61-65	362	4.3%
66-70	242	2.9%
71-75	145	1.7%
76-80	80	0.9%
81-85	39	0.5%
86 and over	25	0.3%
Unknown	5	0.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8473</b>	<b>100%</b>

Traffic citations by Race (no parking)

Race	Citations	% of Total
White	8,132	96.0%
Black or African American	175	2.1%
Asian	50	0.6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	10	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	5	0.1%
Other	13	0.2%
Unknown	88	1.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8473</b>	<b>100%</b>

Traffic citations by ethnicity (no parking)

Ethnicity	Citations	% of Total
HISPANIC	1176	13.9%
NON-HISPANIC	6481	76.5%
UNKNOWN	816	9.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8473</b>	<b>100%</b>

## Closing

The Loveland Police Department exists for the purpose of providing an enhanced level of safety in our community. We strive to deliver responsive and professional police services in partnership with the community to reduce crime/fear of crime, solve problems and enhance traffic safety. Our mission: ***Save Lives, Fight Crime, Survive*** is lived every day by the dedicated professionals who work for the Department. The accomplishments of the past year reflect the dedicated efforts of the men and women of this Police Department to fulfill that commitment. These accomplishments also reflect our strong partnerships with community members, businesses, and organizations who actively support public safety. We also seek to use the information and data we collect to identify areas of improvement and how to allocate resources.