

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO**

**Civil Action No. 1:09-cv-02802-REB- MEH**

JEREMY C. MYERS,

**Plaintiff,**

v.

BRIAN KOOPMAN, in his individual capacity,

**Defendant.**

---

**DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY PENDING RULING ON  
DEFENDANT'S REQUEST FOR WITNESS IMMUNITY**

---

DEFENDANT Brian Koopman ("Koopman"), by and through his attorneys, the Loveland City Attorney's Office and Wick & Trautwein, LLC, and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c), respectfully moves that the Court order stayed all discovery pending ruling on Koopman's request for witness immunity based upon *Rehberg v. Paulk*, 566 U.S. \_\_\_\_ (2012) (No. 10-788, slip op., decided April 2, 2012). In support hereof, Koopman states as follows:

**D.C.COLO. LCivR 7.1 CERTIFICATION**

Undersigned defense counsel hereby certifies that he has conferred with plaintiff's counsel. Plaintiff is opposed to the relief requested herein.

1. Koopman has filed a Defendant's Motion for Leave to Supplement Defendant's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings [#180, filed 04/05/2012] and the Court, by Minute Order, granted said motion [#181, filed 04/06/2012]. Koopman has thereby raised the issue of witness immunity pursuant to *Rehberg* in connection with

Koopman's testimony at plaintiff's preliminary hearing in the underlying criminal case which serves in part as the basis for plaintiff's sole malicious prosecution claim.

2. The parties are currently in the midst of discovery. The Court would act well within its discretion to decide the threshold question of witness immunity prior to permitting further discovery. See *Moore v. Busby*, 92 Fed.Appx. 699, 702 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2004) (upholding protective order under Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(c) to stay discovery pending decision by district court to decide threshold question of judicial immunity prior to permitting discovery); *Bryant v. O'Connor*, 848 F.2d 1064 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1988) upholding stay of discovery pending ruling on absolute judicial and quasi-judicial immunity).

WHEREFORE, Koopman respectfully requests the Court enter a protective order staying further discovery pending its ruling on Koopman's request for witness immunity.

DATED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2012.

WICK & TRAUTWEIN, LLC

LOVELAND CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

By: s/Kent N. Campbell  
Kent N. Campbell  
Kimberly B. Schutt  
323 S. College Avenue, Suite 3  
Fort Collins, Colorado 80524  
Telephone: (970) 482-4011  
Fax: (970) 482-8929  
[kcampbell@wicklaw.com](mailto:kcampbell@wicklaw.com)  
[kschutt@wicklaw.com](mailto:kschutt@wicklaw.com)  
Attorneys for Defendant Brian  
Koopman

By: s/John R. Duval  
John R. Duval  
500 East 3rd Street  
Loveland, Colorado 80537  
Telephone: (970) 962-2540  
Fax: (970) 962-2900  
[duvalj@ci.loveland.co.us](mailto:duvalj@ci.loveland.co.us)  
Attorneys for Defendant Brian  
Koopman

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on April 10, 2012, I electronically filed the foregoing **DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY PENDING RULING ON DEFENDANT'S REQUEST FOR WITNESS IMMUNITY** with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following e-mail address:

Randall R. Meyers, Esq.  
315 W. Oak, Suite 100  
Fort Collins, CO 80521  
[randy.meyers@att.net](mailto:randy.meyers@att.net)  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

/s/Jennifer E. Jones