

City of Loveland Construction Advisory Board
Meeting Minutes
April 25th, 2012

Roll Call:

Board Members: Jason Baker, David Eads, Greg Meisinger, Blaine Rappe, Ingrid McMillan-Ernst, Chris Rosenberger, David Stamps, and Bill Stenberg,

Board Members Absent: Jon Rudolph, Garold Smith, and Teri Volk

City Staff Members Present: Tom Hawkinson

City Staff Council Members Present: Ralph Trenary

City Staff Council Members Absent:

I. Call to Order: Chairman Greg Meisinger called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM

II. Approval of Minutes: The motion to approve meeting minutes was made by David Stamps, and was seconded by Ingrid McMillan-Ernst. The motion passed unanimously.

III. Reports:

a. Citizen:

None

b. Board and Commission Members:

The CAB will be meeting with another board, but no new news to report.

c. Staff:

Tom Hawkinson updated the CAB on permits and license updates.

d. Contractor Licensing:

See handout on licensing. Tom Hawkinson will update the spreadsheet with trending data. Jon Rudolph and Bill Stenberg believe we're trending up.

e. FRAC: Mary Moore (Chairperson) Merlin Green, LFR

No update from Merlin Green

IV. New Business:

a. 2012 Raw Water Master Plan – City of Loveland - Larry Howard, Greg Dewey, Steve Adams, and Jim Lees:

See the 2012 Raw Water Master Plan slides and handouts for extensive information on Mr. Howard's presentation. There were very technical discussions that reviewed parts of the handouts throughout the meeting.

We're currently in the review part of the process in regards to the Raw Water Master Plan adoption. The Loveland Utility Commission (LUC) would like to see the plan adopted as proposed. City Council would like direct mailings to all holders of water bank receipts to notify them of new meetings. Council wants feedback from the CAB and community and recommended approval of a plan. According to Greg Dewey there is a water supply gap. The City of Loveland can buy ditch rights or cash-in-lieu. The storage fee change proposed by Scott Bray would have a ~\$3,700,000 impact on the City. A scheduled breakdown of cost impacts is located in the handout. There was a meeting on April 4th, 2012 with the LUC on this issue. The April 4th meeting minutes are included in the handout. Also included is the letter from Sitzman-Mitchell Co. in regards to Scott Bray's proposal. Mr. Bray is a developer who first proposed these changes, and the letter from Sitzman-Mitchell endorses his proposal. Dave Schneider (Chairman of Loveland Utility Commission) gave an in-depth review of the issue. He said that either growth of the city has to pay for the new water, or rate payers must pay for storage due to growth of the community. Mr. Schneider understands Mr. Bray's proposal and respected his opinion. But Mr. Schneider could not endorse the proposal put forth by Mr. Bray, as the cost of

storing 1 acre/foot of water is three times more expensive than what the city is currently charging in the plan. Per Mr. Schneider it boils down to the following: The Raw Water Master Plan says developers must help pay, but some developers want only the citizens and the City to pay. A municipality must store water for its residents. The value of water is its utility to each individual user. Some current owners can store water, but it's difficult to engineer, it's costly, and depends on their terms, conditions, and decrees. These water development fees will hit only once at the time of development. It's a capital expenditure that is not recurring. The presenters are looking for comments from the CAB and community and a motion to adopt the original or alternate proposal. A large discussion ensued in regards to the level of complexity and water law. Once the technicalities of the plan and proposal were clear to all CAB member a few questions were posed. Jon Rudolph noted that the CAB had already approved this plan back in October 2011, but now the developer wants a discount.

A motion recommending that City Council adopt the 2012 Raw Water Master Plan without Scott Bray's proposal, but including the two City Council proposals was made by Jason Baker and was seconded by Jon Rudolph. The motion passed unanimously.

b. 2012 I Code Subcommittee Reporting:

No major updates.

c. Colorado Chapter ICC Legislative Update:

No major updates.

d. Review Work Program:

No major updates.

e. Joint Committee Reporting:

Tom Hawkinson distributed handouts on permit fees.

V. Old Business:

a. Review of Work Programs: Tom Hawkinson

Tom Hawkinson noted that coordination with other boards is on-going, but there were no updates at this time.

VI. Adjournment:

A motion for adjournment was made by David Stamps, and was seconded by Ingrid McMillan-Ernst. The motion passed unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 7:56 PM.

Sincerely,

David Eads